

Docket (/docket/PTO-P-2021-0032) / Document (PTO-P-2021-0032-0002) (/document/PTO-P-2021-0032-0002) / Comment

 PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Comments Submitted by Marc Brown - Patent Attorney and Law Professor

Posted by the **Patent and Trademark Office** on Sep 7, 2021

View More Comments 62 (/document/PTO-P-2021-0032-0002/comment)

View Related Comments 145 (/docket/PTO-P-2021-0032/comments)

Share ▾

Comment

I have been a patent attorney for over 35 years and now teach Patent Drafting and Prosecution at USC law school. My technical areas of expertise are electronics and software.

The abstract idea exclusion from patent eligibility plainly lacks clarity, lacks a logical basis, and results in the rejection and invalidation of patents on patent-worthy inventions, particularly in the field of artificial intelligence. The exclusion should be abolished.

Comment ID

PTO-P-2021-0032-0027



Tracking Number

kru-u976-yyzo

Comment Details

Submitter Info

Document Subtype

Comment(s)

Received Date

Aug 1, 2021

Page Count

1



Your Voice in Federal Decision Making

[About](#) [Agencies](#) [Learn](#)
(/about) (/agencies) (/learn)

[Reports](#) [FAQ](#)
(<https://resources.regulations.gov/public/component/main?main=Reports>) (/faq)

[Privacy & Security Notice \(/privacy-notice\)](#) | [User Notice \(/user-notice\)](#) |
[Accessibility Statement \(/accessibility\)](#) | [Developers \(https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/\)](https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/)

[Support \(/support\)](#) [Provide Site Feedback](#)